
Graduate Texts in Mathematics

Robert A. Wilson

The Finite Simple Groups

 Springer

Graduate Texts in Mathematics **251**

Editorial Board

S. Axler

K.A. Ribet

For other titles published in this series, go to
<http://www.springer.com/series/136>

Robert A. Wilson

The Finite Simple Groups

 Springer

Professor Robert A. Wilson
Queen Mary, University of London
School of Mathematical Sciences
Mile End Road
London E1 4NS
UK
R.A.Wilson@qmul.ac.uk

Editorial Board

S. Axler
Mathematics Department
San Francisco State University
San Francisco, CA 94132
USA
axler@sfsu.edu

K.A. Ribet
Mathematics Department
University of California, Berkeley
Berkeley, CA 94720-3840
USA
ribet@math.berkeley.edu

ISSN 0072-5285
ISBN 978-1-84800-987-5 e-ISBN 978-1-84800-988-2
DOI 10.1007/978-1-84800-988-2
Springer London Dordrecht Heidelberg New York

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data
A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Control Number: 2009941783

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 20D

© Springer-Verlag London Limited 2009

Apart from any fair dealing for the purposes of research or private study, or criticism or review, as permitted under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, this publication may only be reproduced, stored or transmitted, in any form or by any means, with the prior permission in writing of the publishers, or in the case of reprographic reproduction in accordance with the terms of licenses issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency. Enquiries concerning reproduction outside those terms should be sent to the publishers. The use of registered names, trademarks, etc., in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher makes no representation, express or implied, with regard to the accuracy of the information contained in this book and cannot accept any legal responsibility or liability for any errors or omissions that may be made.

Cover design: SPI Publisher Services

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

Preface

This book is intended as an introduction to all the finite simple groups. During the monumental struggle to classify the finite simple groups (and indeed since), a huge amount of information about these groups has been accumulated. Conveying this information to the next generation of students and researchers, not to mention those who might wish to apply this knowledge, has become a major challenge.

With the publication of the two volumes by Aschbacher and Smith [12, 13] in 2004 we can reasonably regard the proof of the Classification Theorem for Finite Simple Groups (usually abbreviated CFSG) as complete. Thus it is timely to attempt an overview of all the (non-abelian) finite simple groups in one volume. For expository purposes it is convenient to divide them into four basic types, namely the alternating, classical, exceptional and sporadic groups.

The study of alternating groups soon develops into the theory of permutation groups, which is well served by the classic text of Wielandt [170] and more modern treatments such as the comprehensive introduction by Dixon and Mortimer [53] and more specialised texts such as that of Cameron [19]. The study of classical groups via vector spaces, matrices and forms encompasses such highlights as Dickson's classic book [48] of 1901, Dieudonné's [52] of 1955, and more modern treatments such as those of Taylor [162] and Grove [72]. The complete collection of groups of Lie type (comprising the classical and exceptional groups) is beautifully exposed in Carter's book [21] using the simple complex Lie algebras as a starting point. And sporadic attempts have been made to bring the structure of the sporadic groups to a wider audience—perhaps the most successful book-length introduction being that of Griess [69]. But no attempt has been made before to bring within a single cover an introductory overview of all the finite simple groups (unless one counts the 'Atlas of finite groups' [28], which might reasonably be considered to be an overview, but is certainly not introductory).

The remit I have given myself, to cover all of the finite simple groups, gives both advantages and disadvantages over books with more restricted subject

matter. On the one hand it allows me to point out connections, for example between exceptional behaviour of generic groups and the existence of sporadic groups. On the other hand it prevents me from proving everything in as much detail as the reader may desire. Thus the reader who wishes to understand everything in this book will have to do a lot of homework in filling in gaps, and following up references to more complete treatments. Some of the exercises are specifically designed to fill in gaps in the proofs, and to develop certain topics beyond the scope of the text.

One unconventional feature of this book is that Lie algebras are scarcely mentioned. The reasons for this are twofold. Firstly, it hardly seems possible to improve on Carter's exposition [21] (although this book is now out of print and secondhand copies change hands at astronomical prices). And secondly, the alternative approach to the exceptional groups of Lie type via octonions deserves to be better known: although real and complex octonions have been extensively studied by physicists, their finite analogues have been sadly neglected by mathematicians (with a few notable exceptions). Moreover, this approach yields easier access to certain key features, such as the orders of the groups, and the generic covering groups.

On the other hand, not all of the exceptional groups of Lie type have had effective constructions outside Lie theory. In the case of the family of large Ree groups I provide such a construction for the first time, and give an analogous description of the small Ree groups. The importance of the octonions in these descriptions led me also to a new octonionic description of the Leech lattice and Conway's group, and to an ambition, not yet realised, to see the octonions at the centre of the construction of all the exceptional groups of Lie type, and many of the sporadic groups, including of course the Monster.

Complete uniformity of treatment of all the finite simple groups is not possible, but my ideal (not always achieved) has been to begin by describing the appropriate geometric/algebraic/combinatorial structure, in enough detail to calculate the order of its automorphism group, and to prove simplicity of a clearly defined subquotient of this group. Then the underlying geometry/algebra/combinatorics is further developed in order to describe the subgroup structure in as much detail as space allows. Other salient features of the groups are then described in no particular order.

This book may be read in sequence as a story of all the finite simple groups, or it may be read piecemeal by a reader who wants an introduction to a particular group or family of groups. The latter reader must however be prepared to chase up references to earlier parts of the book if necessary, and/or make use of the index. Chapters 4 and 5 are largely (but not entirely) independent of each other, but both rely heavily on Chapters 2 and 3. The sections of Chapter 4 are arranged in what I believe to be the most appropriate order pedagogically, rather than logically or historically, but could be read in a different order. For example, one could begin with Section 4.3 on $G_2(q)$ and proceed via triality (Section 4.7) to $F_4(q)$ (Section 4.8) and $E_6(q)$ (Section 4.10), postponing the twisted groups until later. The ordering of sections

in Chapter 5 is traditional, but a more avant-garde approach might begin with J_1 (Section 5.9.1), and follow this with the exotic incarnation of (the double cover of) J_2 as a quaternionic reflection group (in the first few parts of Section 5.6), and/or the octonionic Leech lattice (Section 5.6.12). But one cannot go far in the study of the sporadic groups without a thorough understanding of M_{24} .

I was introduced to the weird and wonderful world of finite simple groups by a course of lectures on the sporadic simple groups given by John Conway in Cambridge in the academic year 1978–9. During that course, and the following three years when he was my Ph.D. supervisor, he taught me most of what subsequently appeared in the ‘Atlas of finite groups’ [28], and a large part of what now appears in this book. I am of course extremely indebted to him for this thorough initiation.

Especial thanks go also to my former colleague at the University of Birmingham, Chris Parker, who, early in 2003, fuelled by a couple of pints of beer, persuaded me there was a need for a book of this kind, and volunteered to write half of it; who persuaded the Head of School to let us teach a two-semester course on finite simple groups in 2003–4; who developed the original idea into a detailed project plan; and who then quietly left me to get on and write the book. It is not entirely his fault that the book which you now have in your hands bears only a superficial resemblance to that original plan: I excised the chapters which he was going to write, on Lie algebras and algebraic groups, and shovelled far more into the other chapters than we ever anticipated. At the same time the planned 150 pages grew to nearly 300. Indeed, the more I wrote, the more I became aware of how much I had left out. I would need at least another 300 pages to do justice to the material, but one has to stop somewhere. I apologise to those readers who find that I stopped just at the point where they started to get interested.

Several colleagues have read substantial parts of various drafts of this book, and made many valuable comments. I particularly thank John Bray, whose keen nose for errors and assiduousness in sorting out some of the finer points has improved the accuracy and reliability of the text enormously; John Bradley, whose refusal to accept woolly arguments helped me tighten up the exposition in many places; and Peter Cameron whose comments on some early draft chapters have led to significant improvements, and whose encouragement has helped to keep me working on this book.

I owe a great deal also to my students for their careful reading of various versions of parts of the text, and their uncovering of countless errors, some minor, some serious. I used to tell them that if they had not found any errors, it was because they had not read it properly. I hope that this is now less true than it used to be. I thank in particular Jonathan Ward, Johanna Rämö, Simon Nickerson, Nicholas Krempel, and Richard Barraclough, and apologise to any whose names I have inadvertently omitted.

It is a truism that errors remain, and the fault (if fault there be), human nature. By convention, the responsibility is mine, but in fact that is unrealistic.

As Gauss himself said, “In science and mathematics we do not appeal to authority, but rather *you are responsible for what you believe.*” Nevertheless, I shall endeavour to maintain a web-site of corrections that have been brought to my attention, and will be grateful for notification of any further errors that you may find.

Thanks go also to Karen Borthwick at Springer-Verlag London for her gentle but persistent pressure, and to the anonymous referees for their enthusiasm for this project and their many helpful suggestions. I am grateful to Queen Mary, University of London, for their initially relatively light demands on me when I moved there in September 2004, which left me time to indulge in the pleasures of writing. It is entirely my own fault that I did not finish the book before those demands increased to the point where only a sabbatical would suffice to bring this project to a conclusion. I am therefore grateful to Jianbei An and the University of Auckland, and John Cannon and the University of Sydney, for providing me with time, space, and financial support during the last six months which enabled me, among other things, to sign off this book.

London
June 2009

Robert Wilson

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	A brief history of simple groups	1
1.2	The Classification Theorem	3
1.3	Applications of the Classification Theorem	4
1.4	Remarks on the proof of the Classification Theorem	5
1.5	Prerequisites	6
1.6	Notation	9
1.7	How to read this book	10
2	The alternating groups	11
2.1	Introduction	11
2.2	Permutations	11
2.2.1	The alternating groups	12
2.2.2	Transitivity	13
2.2.3	Primitivity	13
2.2.4	Group actions	14
2.2.5	Maximal subgroups	14
2.2.6	Wreath products	15
2.3	Simplicity	16
2.3.1	Cycle types	16
2.3.2	Conjugacy classes in the alternating groups	16
2.3.3	The alternating groups are simple	17
2.4	Outer automorphisms	18
2.4.1	Automorphisms of alternating groups	18
2.4.2	The outer automorphism of S_6	19
2.5	Subgroups of S_n	19
2.5.1	Intransitive subgroups	20
2.5.2	Transitive imprimitive subgroups	20
2.5.3	Primitive wreath products	21
2.5.4	Affine subgroups	21
2.5.5	Subgroups of diagonal type	22

2.5.6	Almost simple groups	22
2.6	The O’Nan–Scott Theorem	23
2.6.1	General results	24
2.6.2	The proof of the O’Nan–Scott Theorem	26
2.7	Covering groups	27
2.7.1	The Schur multiplier	27
2.7.2	The double covers of A_n and S_n	28
2.7.3	The triple cover of A_6	29
2.7.4	The triple cover of A_7	30
2.8	Coxeter groups	31
2.8.1	A presentation of S_n	31
2.8.2	Real reflection groups	32
2.8.3	Roots, root systems, and root lattices	33
2.8.4	Weyl groups	34
	Further reading	35
	Exercises	35
3	The classical groups	41
3.1	Introduction	41
3.2	Finite fields	42
3.3	General linear groups	43
3.3.1	The orders of the linear groups	44
3.3.2	Simplicity of $\text{PSL}_n(q)$	45
3.3.3	Subgroups of the linear groups	46
3.3.4	Outer automorphisms	48
3.3.5	The projective line and some exceptional isomorphisms	50
3.3.6	Covering groups	53
3.4	Bilinear, sesquilinear and quadratic forms	53
3.4.1	Definitions	54
3.4.2	Vectors and subspaces	55
3.4.3	Isometries and similarities	56
3.4.4	Classification of alternating bilinear forms	56
3.4.5	Classification of sesquilinear forms	57
3.4.6	Classification of symmetric bilinear forms	57
3.4.7	Classification of quadratic forms in characteristic 2	58
3.4.8	Witt’s Lemma	59
3.5	Symplectic groups	60
3.5.1	Symplectic transvections	61
3.5.2	Simplicity of $\text{PSp}_{2m}(q)$	61
3.5.3	Subgroups of symplectic groups	62
3.5.4	Subspaces of a symplectic space	63
3.5.5	Covers and automorphisms	64
3.5.6	The generalised quadrangle	64
3.6	Unitary groups	65
3.6.1	Simplicity of unitary groups	66

3.6.2	Subgroups of unitary groups	67
3.6.3	Outer automorphisms	68
3.6.4	Generalised quadrangles	68
3.6.5	Exceptional behaviour	69
3.7	Orthogonal groups in odd characteristic	69
3.7.1	Determinants and spinor norms	70
3.7.2	Orders of orthogonal groups	71
3.7.3	Simplicity of $P\Omega_n(q)$	72
3.7.4	Subgroups of orthogonal groups	74
3.7.5	Outer automorphisms	75
3.8	Orthogonal groups in characteristic 2	76
3.8.1	The quasideterminant and the structure of the groups	76
3.8.2	Properties of orthogonal groups in characteristic 2	77
3.9	Clifford algebras and spin groups	78
3.9.1	The Clifford algebra	79
3.9.2	The Clifford group and the spin group	79
3.9.3	The spin representation	80
3.10	Maximal subgroups of classical groups	81
3.10.1	Tensor products	82
3.10.2	Extraspecial groups	83
3.10.3	The Aschbacher–Dynkin theorem for linear groups	85
3.10.4	The Aschbacher–Dynkin theorem for classical groups	86
3.10.5	Tensor products of spaces with forms	87
3.10.6	Extending the field on spaces with forms	89
3.10.7	Restricting the field on spaces with forms	90
3.10.8	Maximal subgroups of symplectic groups	92
3.10.9	Maximal subgroups of unitary groups	93
3.10.10	Maximal subgroups of orthogonal groups	94
3.11	Generic isomorphisms	96
3.11.1	Low-dimensional orthogonal groups	96
3.11.2	The Klein correspondence	97
3.12	Exceptional covers and isomorphisms	99
3.12.1	Isomorphisms using the Klein correspondence	99
3.12.2	Covering groups of $PSU_4(3)$	100
3.12.3	Covering groups of $PSL_3(4)$	101
3.12.4	The exceptional Weyl groups	103
	Further reading	105
	Exercises	106
4	The exceptional groups	111
4.1	Introduction	111
4.2	The Suzuki groups	113
4.2.1	Motivation and definition	113
4.2.2	Generators for $Sz(q)$	115
4.2.3	Subgroups	117

4.2.4	Covers and automorphisms	118
4.3	Octonions and groups of type G_2	118
4.3.1	Quaternions	118
4.3.2	Octonions	119
4.3.3	The order of $G_2(q)$	121
4.3.4	Another basis for the octonions	122
4.3.5	The parabolic subgroups of $G_2(q)$	123
4.3.6	Other subgroups of $G_2(q)$	125
4.3.7	Simplicity of $G_2(q)$	126
4.3.8	The generalised hexagon	128
4.3.9	Automorphisms and covers	128
4.4	Integral octonions	129
4.4.1	Quaternions in characteristic 2	129
4.4.2	Integral octonions	129
4.4.3	Octonions in characteristic 2	131
4.4.4	The isomorphism between $G_2(2)$ and $\text{PSU}_3(3):2$	132
4.5	The small Ree groups	134
4.5.1	The outer automorphism of $G_2(3)$	134
4.5.2	The Borel subgroup of ${}^2G_2(q)$	135
4.5.3	Other subgroups	137
4.5.4	The isomorphism ${}^2G_2(3) \cong \text{P}\Gamma\text{L}_2(8)$	138
4.6	Twisted groups of type 3D_4	140
4.6.1	Twisted octonion algebras	140
4.6.2	The order of ${}^3D_4(q)$	140
4.6.3	Simplicity	142
4.6.4	The generalised hexagon	143
4.6.5	Maximal subgroups of ${}^3D_4(q)$	143
4.7	Triality	145
4.7.1	Isotopies	146
4.7.2	The triality automorphism of $\text{P}\Omega_8^+(q)$	147
4.7.3	The Klein correspondence revisited	148
4.8	Albert algebras and groups of type F_4	148
4.8.1	Jordan algebras	148
4.8.2	A cubic form	149
4.8.3	The automorphism groups of the Albert algebras	150
4.8.4	Another basis for the Albert algebra	151
4.8.5	The normaliser of a maximal torus	153
4.8.6	Parabolic subgroups of $F_4(q)$	155
4.8.7	Simplicity of $F_4(q)$	157
4.8.8	Primitive idempotents	157
4.8.9	Other subgroups of $F_4(q)$	159
4.8.10	Automorphisms and covers of $F_4(q)$	161
4.8.11	An integral Albert algebra	162
4.9	The large Ree groups	163
4.9.1	The outer automorphism of $F_4(2)$	163

4.9.2	Generators for the large Ree groups	164
4.9.3	Subgroups of the large Ree groups	165
4.9.4	Simplicity of the large Ree groups	166
4.10	Trilinear forms and groups of type E_6	167
4.10.1	The determinant	167
4.10.2	Dickson's construction	169
4.10.3	The normaliser of a maximal torus	170
4.10.4	Parabolic subgroups of $E_6(q)$	170
4.10.5	The rank 3 action	171
4.10.6	Covers and automorphisms	172
4.11	Twisted groups of type 2E_6	172
4.12	Groups of type E_7 and E_8	173
4.12.1	Lie algebras	174
4.12.2	Subgroups of $E_8(q)$	175
4.12.3	$E_7(q)$	177
	Further reading	177
	Exercises	178
5	The sporadic groups	183
5.1	Introduction	183
5.2	The large Mathieu groups	184
5.2.1	The hexacode	184
5.2.2	The binary Golay code	185
5.2.3	The group M_{24}	187
5.2.4	Uniqueness of the Steiner system $S(5, 8, 24)$	188
5.2.5	Simplicity of M_{24}	190
5.2.6	Subgroups of M_{24}	190
5.2.7	A presentation of M_{24}	191
5.2.8	The group M_{23}	192
5.2.9	The group M_{22}	193
5.2.10	The double cover of M_{22}	194
5.3	The small Mathieu groups	195
5.3.1	The group M_{12}	195
5.3.2	The Steiner system $S(5, 6, 12)$	196
5.3.3	Uniqueness of $S(5, 6, 12)$	197
5.3.4	Simplicity of M_{12}	199
5.3.5	The ternary Golay code	199
5.3.6	The outer automorphism of M_{12}	201
5.3.7	Subgroups of M_{12}	201
5.3.8	The group M_{11}	202
5.4	The Leech lattice and the Conway group	203
5.4.1	The Leech lattice	203
5.4.2	The Conway group Co_1	205
5.4.3	Simplicity of Co_1	206
5.4.4	The small Conway groups	206

5.4.5	The Leech lattice modulo 2	208
5.5	Sublattice groups	210
5.5.1	The Higman–Sims group HS	210
5.5.2	The McLaughlin group McL	214
5.5.3	The group Co_3	216
5.5.4	The group Co_2	217
5.6	The Suzuki chain	219
5.6.1	The Hall–Janko group J_2	220
5.6.2	The icosians	220
5.6.3	The icosian Leech lattice	221
5.6.4	Properties of the Hall–Janko group	222
5.6.5	Identification with the Leech lattice	223
5.6.6	J_2 as a permutation group	223
5.6.7	Subgroups of J_2	224
5.6.8	The exceptional double cover of $G_2(4)$	224
5.6.9	The map onto $G_2(4)$	226
5.6.10	The complex Leech lattice	227
5.6.11	The Suzuki group	229
5.6.12	An octonion Leech lattice	230
5.7	The Fischer groups	234
5.7.1	A graph on 3510 vertices	235
5.7.2	The group Fi_{22}	237
5.7.3	Conway’s description of Fi_{22}	241
5.7.4	Covering groups of Fi_{22}	242
5.7.5	Subgroups of Fi_{22}	243
5.7.6	The group Fi_{23}	243
5.7.7	Subgroups of Fi_{23}	246
5.7.8	The group Fi_{24}	246
5.7.9	Parker’s loop	247
5.7.10	The triple cover of Fi'_{24}	248
5.7.11	Subgroups of Fi_{24}	250
5.8	The Monster and subgroups of the Monster	250
5.8.1	The Monster	251
5.8.2	The Griess algebra	255
5.8.3	6-transpositions	256
5.8.4	Monstralisers and other subgroups	256
5.8.5	The Y-group presentations	257
5.8.6	The Baby Monster	259
5.8.7	The Thompson group	260
5.8.8	The Harada–Norton group	262
5.8.9	The Held group	263
5.8.10	Ryba’s algebra	264
5.9	Pariahs	265
5.9.1	The first Janko group J_1	267
5.9.2	The third Janko group J_3	268

5.9.3	The Rudvalis group	270
5.9.4	The O’Nan group	272
5.9.5	The Lyons group	274
5.9.6	The largest Janko group J_4	276
	Further reading	278
	Exercises	279
References	283
Index	291

Introduction

1.1 A brief history of simple groups

The study of (non-abelian) finite simple groups can be traced back at least as far as Galois, who around 1830 understood their fundamental significance as obstacles to the solution of polynomial equations by radicals (square roots, cube roots, etc.). From the very beginning, Galois realised the importance of classifying the finite simple groups, and knew that the alternating groups A_n are simple for $n \geq 5$, and he constructed (at least) the simple groups $\text{PSL}_2(p)$ for primes $p \geq 5$.

Every finite group G has a *composition series*

$$1 = G_0 \triangleleft G_1 \triangleleft G_2 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft G_{n-1} \triangleleft G_n = G \quad (1.1)$$

where each group is normal in the next, and the series cannot be refined any further: in other words, each G_i/G_{i-1} is *simple*. The Jordan–Hölder theorem states that the set of *composition factors* G_i/G_{i-1} (counting multiplicities) is independent of the choice of composition series. Thus if any composition series contains a non-abelian composition factor then they all do. Galois’s theorem states that a polynomial equation in one variable has a solution by radicals if and only if the corresponding ‘Galois group’ has a composition series with cyclic factors.

The 19th century saw slow progress in finite group theory until 1870, in which year appeared Camille Jordan’s ‘Traité des substitutions’ [104], followed in 1872 by the publication of Sylow’s theorems. The former contains constructions of the simple groups we now call $\text{PSL}_n(p)$, while the latter provides the first tools for classifying simple groups. And we must not forget the extraordinary paper of Mathieu [131] from 1861 in which he constructs the groups we now know as the sporadic groups M_{11} and M_{12} , and which was followed by another paper [132] in 1873 constructing M_{22} , M_{23} and M_{24} .

It was not until the dawn of the 20th century that a well-developed theory of the finite classical groups began to emerge, most notably in the work of

L. E. Dickson. In large part this work was inspired by Killing's classification of complex simple Lie algebras (if that is not an oxymoron) into the types A_n , B_n , C_n , D_n , G_2 , F_4 , E_6 , E_7 and E_8 . Dickson constructed finite simple groups analogous to all of these except F_4 , E_7 and E_8 , for every finite field (with a small number of exceptions which are not simple).

One wonders why Dickson did not go on to construct finite simple groups of the remaining three types. It seems extraordinary that it was another fifty years before Chevalley provided a uniform construction of all these groups, in his famous 1955 paper [23]. The types A_n , B_n , C_n and D_n give rise to the classical groups $\mathrm{PSL}_{n+1}(q)$ (linear), $\mathrm{P}\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ (orthogonal, odd dimension), $\mathrm{PSp}_{2n}(q)$ (symplectic) and $\mathrm{P}\Omega_{2n}^+(q)$ (orthogonal, even dimension, plus type). But where were the unitary groups, and $\mathrm{P}\Omega_{2n}^-(q)$? Very soon it was realised that these could be obtained by 'twisting' the Chevalley construction. Using the unitary groups as a model, Steinberg, Tits and Hertzog independently constructed two new families ${}^3D_4(q)$ and ${}^2E_6(q)$.

Soon afterwards, Suzuki and Ree saw how to 'twist' the groups of types B_2 , G_2 and F_4 , provided the characteristic of the field was 2, 3, or 2 respectively. And that seemed to be that. There was a feeling in the early 1960s (or so I am told) that probably all the finite simple groups had been discovered, and all that remained was to prove this.

Meanwhile, other parts of group theory had been developing by leaps and bounds. The Feit–Thompson paper [59] of 1963 proved the monumental result that every finite group of odd order is soluble, or to put it another way, every non-abelian finite simple group has even order. Thus every nonabelian finite simple group contains an element of order 2 (an *involution*) and soon the seemingly outrageous notion began to take root, that one could prove by induction that all finite simple groups were known. Thompson again provided the base case for the induction by classifying the minimal simple groups.

And so, in the early 1960s, the attempt to get a complete classification of the finite simple groups began in earnest. But it turned out to be a lot harder than some people had predicted. More or less the first case to try to eliminate after Thompson's work (at least logically, if not historically) was the case of a simple group with involution centraliser $C_2 \times A_5$. Janko's construction of such a group in 1964 sent shock-waves throughout the group theory community. Suddenly it seemed that the classification project might not be so easy after all. Maybe there were still hundreds, thousands, infinitely many simple groups left to find?

In the decade that followed, a further twenty 'sporadic' (the term originally used by Burnside to describe the Mathieu groups) simple groups were discovered, and then the supply suddenly dried up. By 1980 there was a general feeling that the classification of finite simple groups was almost complete, and there were probably no more finite simple groups to find. Not that that has stopped some people from continuing to look. Announcements were made that the proof was almost complete, and (premature) predictions of the imminent death of group theory filled the air.

1.2 The Classification Theorem

The *Classification Theorem for Finite Simple Groups* (traditionally abbreviated CFSG, conveniently forgetting that what is important is not so much the *Classification*, as the *Theorem*) states that every finite simple group is isomorphic to one of the following:

- (i) a cyclic group C_p of prime order p ;
- (ii) an alternating group A_n , for $n \geq 5$;
- (iii) a classical group:

linear: $\mathrm{PSL}_n(q)$, $n \geq 2$, except $\mathrm{PSL}_2(2)$ and $\mathrm{PSL}_2(3)$;
 unitary: $\mathrm{PSU}_n(q)$, $n \geq 3$, except $\mathrm{PSU}_3(2)$;
 symplectic: $\mathrm{PSp}_{2n}(q)$, $n \geq 2$, except $\mathrm{PSp}_4(2)$;
 orthogonal: $\mathrm{P}\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$, $n \geq 3$, q odd;
 $\mathrm{P}\Omega_{2n}^+(q)$, $n \geq 4$;
 $\mathrm{P}\Omega_{2n}^-(q)$, $n \geq 4$

where q is a power p^a of a prime p ;

- (iv) an exceptional group of Lie type:

$$G_2(q), q \geq 3; F_4(q); E_6(q); {}^2E_6(q); {}^3D_4(q); E_7(q); E_8(q)$$

where q is a prime power, or

$${}^2B_2(2^{2n+1}), n \geq 1; {}^2G_2(3^{2n+1}), n \geq 1; {}^2F_4(2^{2n+1}), n \geq 1$$

or the Tits group ${}^2F_4(2)'$;

- (v) one of 26 sporadic simple groups:

- the five Mathieu groups $M_{11}, M_{12}, M_{22}, M_{23}, M_{24}$;
- the seven Leech lattice groups $\mathrm{Co}_1, \mathrm{Co}_2, \mathrm{Co}_3, \mathrm{McL}, \mathrm{HS}, \mathrm{Suz}, \mathrm{J}_2$;
- the three Fischer groups $\mathrm{Fi}_{22}, \mathrm{Fi}_{23}, \mathrm{Fi}'_{24}$;
- the five Monstrous groups $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Th}, \mathrm{HN}, \mathrm{He}$;
- the six pariahs $\mathrm{J}_1, \mathrm{J}_3, \mathrm{J}_4, \mathrm{O}'\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Ly}, \mathrm{Ru}$.

Conversely, every group in this list is simple, and the only repetitions in this list are:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{PSL}_2(4) &\cong \mathrm{PSL}_2(5) \cong A_5; \\ \mathrm{PSL}_2(7) &\cong \mathrm{PSL}_3(2); \\ \mathrm{PSL}_2(9) &\cong A_6; \\ \mathrm{PSL}_4(2) &\cong A_8; \\ \mathrm{PSU}_4(2) &\cong \mathrm{PSP}_4(3). \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

It is the chief aim of this book to explain, as far as space allows, the *statement* of CFSG. Thus we seek to introduce all the finite simple groups, to provide concrete constructions whenever possible, to calculate the orders of the groups, prove simplicity, and study their actions on various natural

geometrical or combinatorial objects to the point where much of the subgroup structure is revealed. In so doing, we prove a substantial part (though by no means all) of the converse part of CFSG, that is, we prove the existence of many of the finite simple groups. (In the literature on CFSG the word ‘construction’ is generally used in this technical sense of ‘existence proof’, but in this book I shall often use it in the weaker sense of building, possibly without proof, an object which is in fact the group in question.) On the other hand, there is nothing at all here about the proof of the main part of CFSG, that is, the non-existence of any other finite simple groups.

1.3 Applications of the Classification Theorem

If one has (or if many people have) spent decades classifying certain objects, one is apt to forget just why one started the project in the first place. Predictions of the death of group theory in 1980 were the pronouncements of just such amnesiacs. But group theorists did not spend such an enormous amount of effort classifying simple groups just in order to put them in a glass case and admire them.

The first serious applications of CFSG were in permutation group theory. For example, the classical problem of classifying multiply-transitive groups, which had led Mathieu to the discovery of the first five sporadic groups, was easily solved: essentially, there are no others. With more work, one can classify 2-transitive groups. The O’Nan–Scott Theorem was the first result aimed towards a classification of primitive permutation groups. It reduced this problem (for a fixed degree n) to the problem of classifying maximal subgroups of index n in the almost simple groups, rather than in arbitrary groups.

This emphasised the need which was already felt, to have a good classification of the maximal subgroups of the simple groups. For individual groups it is possible to obtain complete explicit lists of maximal subgroups. For example, the maximal subgroups of the sporadic groups can be obtained by (often formidable) calculations: all except the Monster have been completed in this way. For families of groups it is not always possible to obtain such an explicit answer. In the case of the alternating groups, the O’Nan–Scott theorem lists some maximal subgroups explicitly, and says that any other maximal subgroup is almost simple, acting primitively. A theorem of Liebeck, Praeger and Saxl [120] tells us exactly when a subgroup of the latter type is *not* maximal, but it is impossible to give an explicit list of the rest.

A similar programme for classifying the maximal subgroups of the classical groups began with the publication of Aschbacher’s paper [5] on the subject in 1984. For small dimensions explicit lists of all the maximal subgroups are known, going back to the classification of the maximal subgroups of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$ more than a century ago by L. E. Dickson and others [48]. With the benefit of exhaustive lists of representations of quasisimple groups in dimensions up to 250, due to Hiss and Malle [79] and Lübeck [124], there is some prospect

that eventually there will be explicit lists of maximal subgroups for classical groups in these dimensions. Similarly, one would hope to be able to do the same for the exceptional groups of Lie type: so far, complete lists are available for five of the ten families.

1.4 Remarks on the proof of the Classification Theorem

There has been much debate about whether CFSG deserves to be called a theorem, and this debate has contributed to the philosophical arguments about what a theorem is, what a proof is, what mathematics is (or are) and how we recognise them when we see them. I believe most mathematicians are pragmatic in their daily professional lives, and do not expect to reach the Platonic ideal of a perfect proof which confers absolute certainty on a result. Certainly some mathematicians who argue most vociferously for the absolute nature of proof are amongst those whose own proofs often fall short of this ideal. Thus my own point of view is that it is ultimately meaningless to argue about whether a written (or spoken) argument ‘is’ or ‘is not’ a ‘proof’. One can only really argue about the degree of certainty we derive from the argument.

The twentieth century saw announcements of solutions of many long-standing difficult problems in mathematics, including besides the CFSG, also the four-colour problem, Fermat’s Last Theorem, the Poincaré conjecture, and others. It is natural, and necessary, to greet these announcements with a healthy degree of scepticism, as not all of them have stood up to the test of time. But in most cases a gradual process of expert scrutiny, tidying up and correcting minor (or major) errors leads eventually to a general acceptance that the problem in question has indeed been solved. On the other hand, it is impossible in practice to satisfy the mathematician’s desire for absolute certainty. After all, we are only human and therefore fallible. We make mistakes, which sometimes lie hidden for years.

So what of the CFSG? Has it indeed been proved? Certainly the process of collating the various parts of the proof, filling in the gaps and correcting errors, has taken longer than anyone expected when the imminent completion of the proof was announced around 1980. The project by Gorenstein, Lyons and Solomon [66] to write down the whole proof in one place is still in progress: six of a projected eleven volumes have been published so far. The so-called ‘quasithin’ case is not included in this series, but has been dealt with in two volumes, totalling some 1200 pages, by Aschbacher and Smith [12, 13]. Nor do they consider the problem of existence and uniqueness of the 26 sporadic simple groups: fortunately this is not in the slightest doubt. So by now most parts of the proof have been gone over by many people, and re-proved in different ways. Thus the likelihood of catastrophic errors is much reduced, though not completely eliminated.

1.5 Prerequisites

I have tried in this book to keep the prerequisites to a minimum, but I do assume a familiarity with abstract group theory up to the level of Sylow's theorems and the Jordan–Hölder theorem, as well as the basics of linear algebra, such as can be found in Kaye and Wilson [105], and a reasonable mathematical maturity. In a few of the proofs I also need to assume a basic knowledge of representation theory, such as can be found in James and Liebeck [98], although this is not necessary for most of the text. In the chapter on sporadic groups I shall from time to time use basic properties of graphs, codes, lattices and other mathematical objects, but I hope that these can be picked up from the context. For the record, here is a summary of roughly what I assume as background in group theory. (Don't read this unless you need to!)

Groups, subgroups and cosets

A *group* is a (finite) set G with an *identity* element 1 , a (binary) *multiplication* $x.y$ (or xy) and a (unary) *inverse* x^{-1} satisfying the *associative law* $(xy)z = x(yz)$, the *identity laws* $x1 = 1x = x$ and the *inverse laws* $xx^{-1} = x^{-1}x = 1$ for all $x, y, z \in G$ (and the *closure laws* $xy \in G$ and $x^{-1} \in G$ which we take for granted). It is *abelian* if $xy = yx$ for all $x, y \in G$, *non-abelian* otherwise. A *subgroup* is a subset H closed under multiplication and inverses. (It is sufficient to check $xy^{-1} \in H$ for all $x, y \in H$.) *Left cosets* of H in G are subsets $gH = \{gh \mid h \in H\}$ and *right cosets* are $Hg = \{hg \mid h \in H\}$. The left (or right) cosets all have the same size, and partition G , so that $|G| = |H||G : H|$ (*Lagrange's Theorem*), where $|G|$ is the *order* of G , i.e. the number of elements in G , and $|G : H|$ is the *index* of H in G , i.e. the number of left (or right) cosets. The *order* of an element $g \in G$ is the order n of the *cyclic group* $\langle g \rangle = \{1, g, g^2, \dots, g^{n-1}\}$ (denoted C_n) that it *generates*, and the *exponent* of G is the lowest common multiple of the orders of the elements, that is the smallest positive integer e such that $g^e = 1$ for all $g \in G$.

Homomorphisms and quotient groups

A *homomorphism* is a map $\phi : G \rightarrow H$ which preserves the multiplication, $\phi(xy) = \phi(x)\phi(y)$ (from which it follows that $\phi(1) = 1$ and $\phi(x^{-1}) = \phi(x)^{-1}$). The *kernel* of ϕ is $\ker \phi = \{g \in G \mid \phi(g) = 1\}$, and is a subgroup which satisfies $g(\ker \phi) = (\ker \phi)g$, i.e. its left and right cosets are equal (such a subgroup N is called *normal*, written $N \trianglelefteq G$, or $N \triangleleft G$ if also $N \neq G$). An *isomorphism* is a bijective homomorphism, i.e. one satisfying $\ker \phi = \{1\}$ and $\phi(G) = H$: in this case we write $G \cong H$.

If N is a normal subgroup of G , the *quotient* group G/N has elements xN (for all $x \in G$) and group operations $(xN)(yN) = (xy)N$, and $(xN)^{-1} = x^{-1}N$. The *first isomorphism theorem* states that if $\phi : G \rightarrow H$ is a homomorphism then the image of ϕ , $\phi(G) \cong G/\ker \phi$ (and the isomorphism is given by $\phi(x) \mapsto x(\ker \phi)$).

The normal subgroups of G/N are in one-to-one correspondence with the normal subgroups K of G which contain N , and the *second isomorphism theorem* is $(G/N)/(K/N) \cong G/K$. If H is any subgroup of G , and N is any normal subgroup of G , then $HN = \{xy \mid x \in H, y \in N\}$ is a subgroup of G and $N \cap H$ is a normal subgroup of H , and the *third isomorphism theorem* is $HN/N \cong H/(N \cap H)$.

Simple groups and composition series

A group S is *simple* if it has exactly two normal subgroups (1 and S). In particular, an abelian group is simple if and only if it has prime order. A series

$$1 = G_0 \triangleleft G_1 \triangleleft G_2 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft G_{n-1} \triangleleft G_n = G \quad (1.3)$$

for a group G is called a *composition series* if all the factors G_i/G_{i-1} are simple (and they are then called *composition factors*).

The *fourth isomorphism theorem* (or *Zassenhaus's butterfly lemma*) states that if $X \triangleleft Y \leq G$ and $A \triangleleft B \leq G$ then

$$\frac{(Y \cap B)X}{(Y \cap A)X} \cong \frac{(Y \cap B)}{(Y \cap A)(X \cap B)} \cong \frac{(Y \cap B)A}{(X \cap B)A}.$$

Hence any two series for G have isomorphic *refinements*, and by induction on the length of a composition series, any two composition series for a finite group have the same composition factors, counted with multiplicities (the *Jordan–Hölder Theorem*). A *normal series* is one in which all terms G_i are normal in G , and if it has no proper refinements it is called a *chief series*, and its factors G_i/G_{i-1} *chief factors*.

Soluble groups

A group is *soluble* if it has a composition series with abelian (hence cyclic of prime order) composition factors. A *commutator* is an element $x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$, denoted $[x, y]$, and the subgroup generated by all commutators $[x, y]$ of elements $x, y \in G$ is the *commutator subgroup* (or *derived subgroup*), written $[G, G]$ or G' . Writing $G^{(0)} = G$ and $G^{(n)} = (G^{(n-1)})'$, it follows that G is soluble if and only if $G^{(n)} = 1$ for some n . Also G/N is abelian if and only if N contains G' , so G/G' is the *largest abelian quotient* of G .

Group actions and conjugacy classes

The *right regular representation* of a group G is the identification of each element $g \in G$ with the permutation $x \mapsto xg$ of the elements of G . This shows that every finite group is isomorphic to a group of permutations (*Cayley's theorem*). If G is a group of permutations on a set Ω , and $a \in \Omega$, the *stabiliser* of a is the subgroup H consisting of all permutations in G which map a to

itself. Then Lagrange's theorem can be re-interpreted as the *orbit-stabiliser theorem*, that $|G|/|H|$ equals the number of images of a under G (i.e. the *length* of the *orbit* of a).

Now let G act on itself by conjugation, $g : x \mapsto g^{-1}xg$, so that the orbits are the *conjugacy classes* $[x] = \{g^{-1}xg \mid g \in G\}$, and the stabiliser of x is the *centraliser* of x , $C_G(x) = \{g \in G \mid g^{-1}xg = x\}$. In particular, the conjugacy classes partition G , and their sizes divide the order of G . An element x is in a conjugacy class of size 1 if and only if x *commutes* with every element of G , i.e. $x \in Z(G) = \{y \in G \mid g^{-1}yg = y \text{ for all } g \in G\}$, the *centre* of G , which is a normal subgroup of G .

p-groups and nilpotent groups

A finite group is called a *p*-group if its order is a power of the prime p (and so by Lagrange's Theorem all its elements have order some power of p). Every conjugacy class in G has p^a elements for some a , and $\{1\}$ is a conjugacy class, so there are at least p conjugacy classes of size 1, and $Z(G)$ has order at least p . Define $Z_1(G) = Z(G)$ and $Z_n(G)/Z_{n-1}(G) = Z(G/Z_{n-1}(G))$, so that if G is a p -group then $Z_n(G) = G$ for some n . A group with this property is called *nilpotent* (of *class* at most n), and the series

$$1 = Z_0(G) \triangleleft Z_1(G) \triangleleft Z_2(G) \triangleleft \dots$$

is called the *upper central series*.

The *direct product* $G_1 \times \dots \times G_k$ of groups G_1, \dots, G_k is defined on the set $\{(g_1, \dots, g_k) \mid g_i \in G_i\}$ by the group operations $(g_1, \dots, g_k)(h_1, \dots, h_k) = (g_1h_1, \dots, g_kh_k)$ and $(g_1, \dots, g_k)^{-1} = (g_1^{-1}, \dots, g_k^{-1})$. A finite group is nilpotent if and only if it is a direct product of p -groups.

Abelian groups

If m and n are coprime, then $C_m \times C_n \cong C_{mn}$. Hence in any finite abelian group there is an element whose order is equal to the exponent of the group. Indeed, every finite abelian group is isomorphic to a group $C_{n_1} \times C_{n_2} \times \dots \times C_{n_r}$ with n_i dividing n_{i-1} for all $2 \leq i \leq r$. Conversely, the integers n_i are uniquely determined by the group.

Sylow's theorems

If G is a finite group of order $p^k n$, where p is prime and n is not divisible by p , then the *Sylow theorems* state that

- (i) G has subgroups of order p^k ;
- (ii) these *Sylow p -subgroups* are all conjugate; and
- (iii) the number s_p of Sylow p -subgroups satisfies $s_p \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. (Note also that, by the orbit-stabiliser theorem, s_p is a divisor of n).

To prove the first statement, let G act by right multiplication on all subsets of G of size p^k : since the number of these subsets is not divisible by p , there is a stabiliser of order divisible by p^k , and therefore equal to p^k . To prove the second statement, and also to prove that any p -subgroup is contained in a Sylow p -subgroup, let any p -subgroup Q act on the right cosets Pg of any Sylow p -subgroup P by right multiplication: since the number of cosets is not divisible by p , there is an orbit $\{Pg\}$ of length 1, so $PgQ = Pg$ and gQg^{-1} lies inside P . To prove the third statement, let a Sylow p -subgroup P act by conjugation on the set of all the other Sylow p -subgroups: the orbits have length divisible by p , for otherwise P and Q are distinct Sylow p -subgroups of $N_G(Q)$, which is a contradiction.

An important corollary of Sylow's theorems is the *Frattini argument*: if $N \triangleleft G$ and P is a Sylow p -subgroup of N , then $G = N_G(P)N$.

Automorphism groups

An *automorphism* of a group G is an isomorphism of G with itself. The set of all automorphisms of G forms a group under composition, and is denoted $\text{Aut}(G)$. The *inner* automorphisms are the automorphisms $\phi_g : x \mapsto g^{-1}xg$, for $g \in G$. These form a subgroup $\text{Inn}(G)$ of $\text{Aut}(G)$. Indeed, if $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$, then $\alpha^{-1}\phi_g\alpha = \phi_{g^\alpha}$, so that $\text{Inn}(G)$ is a normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}(G)$. Now $\phi_{gh} = \phi_g\phi_h$, and $\phi_g = \phi_h$ if and only if $gh^{-1} \in Z(G)$, so the map ϕ defined by $\phi : g \mapsto \phi_g$ is a homomorphism from G onto $\text{Inn}(G)$ with kernel $Z(G)$. Therefore $\text{Inn}(G) \cong G/Z(G)$ and, in particular, if $Z(G) = 1$ then $G \cong \text{Inn}(G)$. The *outer automorphism group* of G is $\text{Out}(G) = \text{Aut}(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$.

1.6 Notation

Unfortunately there is no general consensus on notation for simple groups, extensions of groups, and so on. In this book I shall usually, but not always, follow the notation of the 'Atlas of finite groups' [28]. The main exception is for orthogonal groups, where Atlas notation is most likely to be misunderstood, and I prefer to follow Dieudonné [52]. Notation for simple groups is given in Section 1.2. Extensions of groups are written in one of the following ways: $A \times B$ denotes a direct product, with normal subgroups A and B ; also $A:B$ denotes a semidirect product (or split extension), with a normal subgroup A and a subgroup B ; and $A \cdot B$ denotes a non-split extension, with a normal subgroup A and quotient B , but no subgroup B ; finally $A.B$ or just AB denotes an unspecified extension.

The expression $[n]$ denotes an (unspecified) group of order n , while n or C_n denotes (usually) a cyclic group of order n . If p is prime, p^n denotes an elementary abelian group of order p^n , i.e. a direct product of n copies of C_p . Often I shall use q^n (where q is a power of p) also to denote an elementary abelian p -group, although this is not standard Atlas notation. This includes the case $n = 1$.

- [Stressaholic: 5 Steps to Transform Your Relationship with Stress pdf, azw \(kindle\), epub, doc, mobi](#)
- [download Outline of History: Being a Plain History of Life and Mankind \(Extended Annotated Edition\)](#)
- **[I'll Never Be Young Again book](#)**
- **[read Punctuation at Work: Simple Principles for Achieving Clarity and Good Style](#)**

- <http://paulczajak.com/?library/Advanced-Organic-Chemistry--Part-A--Structure-and-Mechanisms--5th-Edition---Solutions-Manual.pdf>
- <http://aseasonedman.com/ebooks/Outline-of-History--Being-a-Plain-History-of-Life-and-Mankind--Extended-Annotated-Edition-.pdf>
- <http://musor.ruspb.info/?library/I-ll-Never-Be-Young-Again.pdf>
- <http://cavalldecartro.highlandagency.es/library/Don-t-Panic---Dinner-s-in-the-Freezer--Great-Tasting-Meals-You-Can-Make-Ahead.pdf>