



I Hope I Don't Intrude

Privacy and its Dilemmas in Nineteenth-Century Britain

DAVID VINCENT

I HOPE I DON'T INTRUDE

I HOPE I DON'T INTRUDE

PRIVACY AND ITS DILEMMAS IN
NINETEENTH-CENTURY BRITAIN

DAVID VINCENT

OXFORD
UNIVERSITY PRESS

OXFORD

UNIVERSITY PRESS

Great Clarendon Street, Oxford, OX2 6DP,
United Kingdom

Oxford University Press is a department of the University of Oxford.
It furthers the University's objective of excellence in research, scholarship,
and education by publishing worldwide. Oxford is a registered trade mark of
Oxford University Press in the UK and in certain other countries

© David Vincent 2015

The moral rights of the author have been asserted

First Edition published in 2015

Impression: 1

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in
a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the
prior permission in writing of Oxford University Press, or as expressly permitted
by law, by licence or under terms agreed with the appropriate reprographics
rights organization. Enquiries concerning reproduction outside the scope of the
above should be sent to the Rights Department, Oxford University Press, at the
address above

You must not circulate this work in any other form
and you must impose this same condition on any acquirer

Published in the United States of America by Oxford University Press
198 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10016, United States of America

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

Data available

Library of Congress Control Number: 2014955883

ISBN 978-0-19-872503-9

Printed and bound by

CPI Group (UK) Ltd, Croydon, CR0 4YY

Links to third party websites are provided by Oxford in good faith and
for information only. Oxford disclaims any responsibility for the materials
contained in any third party website referenced in this work.

For Esmé, Frida, and Reuben

Acknowledgements

This book began life at Keele University. The interdisciplinary MA in Victorian Studies that I taught for many years has been the foundation of such capacity as I possess to work across so many forms of expression and creativity in the nineteenth century. My initial debt is to the students and staff on that course, and in particular to my late colleague Charles Swann, who was the first reader of an early outing of this enterprise, and supplied me with an invaluable range of references. The book was completed at the Open University, with its remarkable combination of expansive reach, high scholarship, and technical resources. I am grateful to its History Department for providing me with the necessary time and funding to finish the project.

Paul Pry has led me into new and unfamiliar fields, and I have been dependent on the advice and support of many colleagues. In particular Louis James, Jim Davis, and Brian Maidment have been exemplary in their combination of generosity of spirit and depth of scholarship. Bob Patten, master of Cruikshank and Dickens, was hugely supportive through the final trek to publication. Deborah Cohen, whose own book on privacy appeared towards the end of my work, showed just what could be achieved in this field through a combination of imaginative research and bold analysis, and was an insightful reader of this enterprise. Patrick Joyce and James Vernon set aside time in their own programme of writing to make constructive readings of the complete text. Assistance and advice on specific issues was supplied by Kirstie Ball, Sara Igo, Judith Mellby, John Naughton, John Plunkett, Michael Read, Clare Rose, Graham Vincent, and Susan Whyman. I thank Graham Tattersall for ambulatory discussions of the ideas in this book, and for advice on the technology of communication. Evie Ekins and Jo Reilly tracked down and photographed a present-day survival of Paul Pry. Gordon Shepherd helped me with the pursuit of Pry through the newspapers of late-Georgian and Victorian Britain.

This acknowledgement is being written on the day that the *Guardian* and the *Washington Post* won a Pulitzer Prize for their reporting of the Edward

Snowden revelations. *I Hope I Don't Intrude* was conceived and researched well before the current explosion of media interest in privacy and surveillance, but I must thank Snowden and the journalists with whom he has worked for drawing attention to the complex interactions between governments, communications systems, and the democratic process and also for demonstrating the need for an historical perspective on the specific concepts and processes. In this context, Simon Szreter and the staff of the *History and Policy* site provided me with an opportunity to explore some of the connections between the past and the present.

A book about print, performance, and a largely unmechanized consumer culture could not have been written without the digital revolution which has permitted new forms of access to nineteenth-century archives. I am particularly fortunate in the facilities I have enjoyed at the Open University Library with its wide-ranging and professionally organized databases. More traditional assistance has been supplied by the staff of the Victoria and Albert Theatre and Performance Archive, the Bodleian Library, and the Rare Books Rooms of the Cambridge University Library and the British Library. Abby Yochelson and Kevin LaVine of the Library of Congress in Washington helped me with bibliographic inquiries into the American literature. I have greatly appreciated the interest and support of Robert Faber and Cathryn Steele at OUP. Charlotte Vincent was as always my ideal reader and my most encouraging listener. I can only hope that Paul Pry's many intrusions into our lives can be forgiven, as he would have hoped. We both take absolute private pleasure in the dedication of the book.

Shrawardine, April 2014

Contents

<i>List of Figures</i>	xi
I. INTRODUCTION	
1. Enter Pry	3
2. The General Truth of the Delineation	16
II. THE PERFORMANCE OF PAUL PRY	
3. The Moderate C.	43
4. The Paul Pry Industry	67
5. The Dynamics of the Market	94
III. THE DILEMMAS OF PRIVACY	
6. The Spirit of Inquiry	117
7. Intrusion	159
8. Virtual Privacy	190
IV. CONCLUSION	
9. Comedy and Error	229
<i>Notes</i>	249
<i>Bibliography</i>	315
<i>Index</i>	349

List of Figures

1. Paul Pry, First Night Playbill. Haymarket Theatre.	5
2. Mr Paul Pry, Royal Coburg Theatre Playbill 1826.	8
3. Paul Pry On Horseback, Astley's Royal Amphitheatre, 12 June 1826.	12
4. William Heath, Mr Liston in his principal characters (c.1825).	47
5. Charles Brocky, Portrait of John Liston.	48
6. John Liston in the costume of Paul Pry.	50
7. Alfred Bryan, J. L. Toole as Paul Pry (London: Maclure, Macdonald, & Macregor, nd.).	55
8. Mr Liston as Paul Pry.	59
9. Paul Pry Public House, Rayleigh, Essex.	76
10. Snuff Box. Henry Clay (poss.), Birmingham, c.1840.	77
11. Paul Pry Figurine. Robert Bloor & Co. Derby, c.1830.	79
12. Paul Pry Toby Jug. Rockingham Ceramic Factory c.1825.	80
13. G. Clint, Scene from Paul Pry (engraved T. Lupton, published Boys, Moons, and Graves 1828).	81
14. Portrait of Liston in Paul Pry, <i>Observer</i> , 13 November 1825.	83
15. <i>The Adventures of Paul Pry by Peter Pigwigginn</i> (London: Mayhew & Co. nd.).	84
16. Paul Pry Patchwork, Victoria and Albert Museum.	104
17. Paul Pry Patchwork, Leeds Museum.	105
18. 'Paul Pry. Taking a Synopsis of the Sporting World', in Pierce Egan, <i>Pierce Egan's Book of Sports and Mirror of Life</i> (London: W. Tegg and Co. [1832?]), p. 49.	124
19. George Cruikshank, 'Mr Bumble and Mrs Corney taking tea', Charles Dickens, <i>Oliver Twist</i> (1837–9, London: Penguin, 1985), p. 220.	129
20. Robert Cruikshank, Ald'n Wood in the character of PAUL PRY (London: George Humphrey, 1826).	135

21. Robert Cruikshank, Paul Pry, and Polhills committee (*Bell's Life in London*, 18 June 1826). 141
22. Robert Cruikshank, A Frolic at the Melon Shop in Piccadilly (London: George Humphrey, 1826). 141
23. William Heath, P-Pry's Address to the Public (London: T. McLean, 1829). 143
24. William Heath, Parish Characters in Ten Plates, no. 7 (London: T. McLean, 1829). 144
25. William Heath, One of the Select Vestry!!! (London: T. McLean, 1829). 146
26. William Heath, A Wellington Boot (London, T. McLean, 1827). 147
27. William Heath, Modern Peeping Tom's who deserve to be sent to Coventry!!! (London, T. McLean, c.1829). 148
28. William Heath, Protestant descendency, a pull at the Church (London: T. McLean, 1829). 150
29. William Heath, A slap at the Charleys or a Tom & Jerry lark (London, T. McClean, 1829). 150
30. Joseph Lisle, The Spectator. Very fond of Prints & a Drawing-Master (Berthoud & Son, 1828). 153
31. The New Paul Pry. *Figaro in London* (London, 23 May 1835), p. 87. 155
32. Paul Pry's Last Acquaintance (Ingrey & Madeley, [1826?]). 164
33. Robert Cruikshank, Mr Liston as Paul Pry (London: T. Hughes, 20 April 1826). 192
34. 'Paul Pry at the Post Office', *Punch*, 6 July 1844, p. 4. 214
35. 'The Post-Office Peep Show', *Punch*, 20 July 1844, p. 34. 215
36. Charles Williams, 'Paul Pry's peep into Chancery' (London: S. W. Fores, 1826). 232

PART
ONE

Introduction

I

Enter Pry

The setting is a village fifty miles from London. The scene is a room in the house of Mr Witherton, a rich, elderly bachelor. He is in discussion with Mrs Subtle his scheming housekeeper, Grasp his steward, and Mr Willis, whom he believes to be a protégé of his neighbour, Colonel Hardy, but is in reality his estranged nephew Somers. Grasp and Mrs Subtle are protesting at Mr Witherton's proposal to make a gift of fifty pounds to his visitor. After an exchange of views, the stage directions prescribe: 'Grasp goes up and gives money to Willis, as they are going off. Enter Pry. Pry. Ha! How do ye do this morning. I hope I don't intrude?'¹

Paul Pry was the eponymous hero of a new play by John Poole presented at the Haymarket Theatre on 13 September 1825. The Theatre Royal Haymarket was the unofficial third London patent theatre. A 'summer patent' had been granted in 1766 to its manager, Samuel Foote, for the duration of his lifetime. This ran from 14 May to 14 September and enabled it to join Covent Garden and Drury Lane as the theatres legally entitled to perform drama.² With the lapse of the patent the theatre was working under an annual licence from the Lord Chamberlain which, according to its manager, gave it 'the power of playing the whole range of the drama'.³ The theatre's marginal status caused it to be described in a contemporary survey as 'like a young lady on the borders of fashionable life'.⁴ Its summer now ran from mid-April to mid-November.⁵ *Paul Pry* was the first major success in a new building designed by John Nash and built over the winter and spring of 1820–1 at a cost of £18,000.⁶ 'In point of architectural beauty,' wrote a contemporary commentator, 'the Haymarket Theatre is the most elegant in London.'⁷ It was more ornate but smaller and more intimate than the two patent establishments.⁸ 'The Haymarket always has been a snug and attractive theatre in point of size and accommodation', observed the *Sunday Times*, whereas 'the overgrown size of the winter

theatres has been . . . their greatest detriment'.⁹ John Poole was the principal dramatist for comedy at the Haymarket. His first major success was *Hamlet Travestie* in 1810, and in the 1820s he was writing regularly for an established company of players.

The play was part of a standard triple bill, bracketed by a one-act comic piece and a musical farce [Fig. 1].¹⁰ Its timing towards the end of the season suggested that no great hopes were invested in it. John Liston, who played the title role, was widely regarded as the greatest low comedian of the age, the first of his tradition to earn as much as the star tragedians. He had started out at the Haymarket at the end of the previous century and had built a career in the patent theatres in London and in the provinces, at one point playing Ophelia in *Hamlet Travestie*. *Paul Pry* did not seem a particularly promising prospect, and according to his biographer, he was so unenthusiastic about the part that he turned up at the first rehearsal without having learnt his lines properly.¹¹ His lack of excitement was understandable. Since joining the company on 15 June, he had already played twenty-two parts ranging from the title role in *The Marriage of Figaro* and Tony Lumpkin in *She Stoops to Conquer* to leading characters in minor plays such as Sam Savoury in *Fish Out of Water* and, immediately prior to Poole's new drama, Sir Hilary Heartsease in *Roses and Thorns*.¹² Given the hasty life of the late-Georgian repertory companies there was little time to improve the production before it was presented to the public.¹³ The first night reviews were far from overwhelming. 'It is from the pen of Mr Poole'; wrote the *Theatre*, 'but in reality there are only materials for about two acts.' Nonetheless, it continued, "'*Paul Pry*" cannot fail to have a "run", if it were only for the sake of Mr Liston's acting in it.'¹⁴ *The Morning Post*, however, sent its reviewer back to the second evening. He found that the play's reception was growing:

The new Comedy of *Paul Pry*, which met with such decided success at its first representation on Tuesday evening, was repeated last night to a crowded house, with increased applause. Considerable improvements have been made in some of the scenes, which render the plot less complicated, and the whole effect less heavy. It is to be regretted that more songs are not introduced; those, however, that were sung by Madame VESTRIS, 'The Lover's Mistake', and 'Cherry Ripe', were warmly encored. . . . Mr LISTON kept the audience in roars of laughter until the falling of the curtain, when he stepped forward in character—'hoped he was not intruding', but begged that the audience would overlook the many faults of poor *Paul Pry*, and then wished

NEVER ACTED.**Theatre Royal, Hay-Market.**This Evening, **TUESDAY** September 13, 1825,

Will be performed a Comic Piece in One Act, called

MATRIMONY.

Baron de Limberg, Mr. W I L L I A M S,
 Delaval, Mr. VINING, O'Clougherty, Mr. LEE,
 Sentinels, Mr. C. JONES, and Mr. MOORE,
 Clara, Mrs. D A V I S O N.

After which, (never acted) a Comedy in Three Acts called

PAUL PRY.

Colonel Hardy, Mr. W. F A R R E N,
 Frank Hardy, Mr. RAYMOND, Witherton, Mr. POPE,
 Somers, Mr. W. JOHNSON, Stanley, Mr. DUFF,
 Harry Stanley, Mrs. W A Y L E T T,
 Paul Pry, Mr. L I S T O N,
 Grasp, Mr. YOUNGER, Doubledot, Mr. C. JONES,
 Simon, Mr. ROSS, Servant, Mr. JONES,
 Eliza, Miss P. GLOVER, Marian, Miss A. JONES,
 Mrs. Subtle, Mrs. G L O V E R,
 Phœbe, Madame V E S T R I S,—who will sing
 “*The Lover's mistake*,” and “*Cherry Ripe*.”

To conclude with the musical Farce of

YOUTH LOVE & FOLLY.

Baron de Briancourt, Mr. WILLIAMS, Louis de Linval, Mr. MELROSE,
 Florimond, Mr. VINING, Antoine, Mr. WILKINSON,
 Dennis, Mr. C. JONES, La Fleur, Mr. COATES.
 Arinette, Mrs. H U M B Y,
 Clotilda, Miss A. JONES, Bona, Mrs. T. HILL.

VIVAT REX

BOXES 5s.—PIT 3s.—FIRST GALLERY 2s.—SECOND GALLERY 1s

The Doors to be opened at Six o'Clock, and the Performances to begin at SEVEN.

* * * Places for the Boxes to be taken of Mr. MASSINGHAM, at the Theatre, Daily, from Ten till Five
N. B. PRIVATE BOXES may be had, nightly, and free admissions for the Season, by application
 Stage Manager, Mr. P. FARREN.] at the Box-Office. [Leader of the Band, Mr. WARE

To-Morrow, LOVE LAUGHS AT LOCKSMITHS; Risk, Mr. Harley, with ANIMAL
 MAGNETISM, and other Entertainments.

On Thursday, The TWO PAGES OF FREDERICK THE GREAT, with The REVIEW; Or,
 The Wags of Windsor; Caleb Quotem, Mr. Harley, Grace Gaylove, Mrs. Gibbs, and other
 Entertainments.

On Friday, MATRIMONY, with KILLING NO MURDER, and other Entertainments.

On Saturday FRIGHTEEN'D TO DEATH, with 'TWOULD PUZZLE A CONJUROR and
 other Entertainments.

B. JOHNSON, 2, Herbert's Passage, Beaufort Buildings, Strand.—Printer to the Theatre

Figure 1. Paul Pry, First Night Playbill. Haymarket Theatre.

© Victoria and Albert Museum, London.

the Ladies and Gentlemen 'very good night', which was returned by loud and continued cheering.¹⁵

The theatre announced that 'the new Comedy called PAUL PRY, Having been received throughout with unanimous Applause by a brilliant and crowded Audience, will be repeated EVERY EVENING TILL FURTHER NOTICE'¹⁶ and unlike identical claims made for four of its earlier new productions in 1825,¹⁷ it became a fixture in the programme, playing continuously until the season ended on 15 November and throughout much of the following 1826 season.¹⁸ Henry Crabb Robinson recorded in his diary trying and failing to get in to see the production on 12 and 13 November 1825 and he had to wait until 27 May of the following year before he could get a ticket.¹⁹ The total of 155 performances constituted a record for the first production of a play, drawing parallels with the seismic impact of *The Beggar's Opera* almost a century earlier.²⁰

As the reviewers pointed out, much of the play was a compilation of standard comic characters in familiar situations. 'In the plot, or rather plots', wrote the *Morning Post*, '... there is, perhaps, but little novelty.'²¹ In the first plot, Witherton is exploited by his unscrupulous housekeeper Mrs Subtle, who conspires with Grasp the steward to alienate him from his nephew and heir in order that she might make a late marriage and gain access to his fortune. In the hope of effecting a reconciliation the nephew takes up residence under an assumed name together with his wife, who pretends to be Mrs Subtle's assistant, and after revealing his identity he is reunited with his uncle, while Mrs Subtle is exiled from the household. In the second plot, the peremptory Colonel Hardy is seeking to manage the marriage of his daughter Eliza to her cousin Frank Hardy, who is due to make a visit after a long absence at sea. Aided by her maidservant Phebe, Eliza has set her heart on the 'very young, and very handsome'²² Harry Stanley, a shipmate of Frank and also about to appear in the village. There is much business with unexpected arrivals, disguises, chases, and a threatened elopement before true love triumphs.

'Few, in the present day build better with old materials' wrote *The Theatrical Examiner*. 'In this piece, for instance, there is not a single altogether new character, or scarcely a situation; we are reminded of *Life in a Village*, *The Rivals*, and *The Busybody*, from beginning to end, and yet it received and merited considerable applause.'²³ *The Times* detected the

influence of Molière's *The Hypocrite*, and the *Morning Chronicle's* first-night review observed that,

The writer (who is said to be Mr Poole) seems to have had the Play of *The Rivals* a good deal in his head, when he was arranging the present Comedy, for he has not only copied one of the characters from that fine original, but actually adopted the main incident of two lovers intended for each other by their parents, without knowing it themselves, doing all they can to cross the design which they have the greatest interest in promoting.²⁴

Later it found a model for Witherton in Jean François Collin-Harleville's *Le Vieux Célibataire*. Poole was certainly familiar with French theatre as well as the stock of eighteenth-century British drama, and was unconcerned about his borrowings. No originality in his own work or in late-Georgian comedy more generally could be claimed for wealthy old bachelors beset by fortune hunters or ardent young lovers seeking to frustrate the intentions of their fathers or guardians. Poole's 1813 farce *The Hole in the Wall* revolved around the courtship of the ward of 'Old Stubborn', who would lose her inheritance if she married without consent.²⁵ Paul Pry himself bore a distant resemblance to the character of Marplot from *The Busybody*, but it was his presence in the drama which, by general consent, lifted the play out of the commonplace. For *The Morning Post*, the title role compensated for the familiarity of the story:

The character of Paul Pry, however, combines in itself a fund of humour. It is drawn to the very life. Every village can produce a *Pry*. A meddling malaprop who investigates every circumstance with which he has nothing to do, and who constantly puts every thing and every body into confusion, by retailing the produce of his impertinent curiosity from one person to another.²⁶

Above all it was his embodiment by John Liston which, in the words of the *Theatrical Examiner*, 'produced roars of laughter'.²⁷ As his obituary recorded, it was 'the climax of Mr Liston's popularity'.²⁸

The second play was distinguished from the first by the slightest change of title. *Mr Paul Pry* by Douglas Jerrold was staged at the Royal Coburg Theatre on 10 April 1826 [Fig. 2].²⁹ In the initial playbills 'Mr' was in a very small typeface and was later dropped altogether. The Coburg was, like the Haymarket, a modern building, constructed in 1818 in a less fashionable area on the south side of the Thames and faced with more intractable legal constraints.³⁰ It could accommodate up to four thousand spectators arranged in a relatively intimate horseshoe. The theatre operated under




Figure 2. Mr Paul Pry, Royal Coburg Theatre Playbill 1826.

© Victoria and Albert Museum, London.

licence and was in intermittent conflict with the Lord Chamberlain for straying into the territory of spoken drama.³¹ In 1825 its manager George Davidge hired the promising but still unknown twenty-two year-old Jerrold as a house dramatist, required to turn his pen to whatever seemed most likely to fill the theatre.³² Following the success of the Haymarket's first run of *Paul Pry*, he set him the task of producing a version that would exploit its popularity. Jerrold duly delivered a three-act farce that opened just a week before the Haymarket commenced its new summer season.³³ The Coburg's dramatic centre of gravity lay more in spectacle than comedy. The new farce was presented in a bill opening with 'an interesting melodrama, *Coast Blockade; or the Kentish Smuggler*', featuring 'the Burning of Kent', and concluding with 'the highly Popular, New Grand Local

Historical Melo-Drama, and Naval and Military Oriental Spectacle, with Marches, Processions, Pageants, Dances, Combats, extensive and peculiar Military Evolutions, Entitled, *The Massacre of Rajahpooor...*. Plagiarism presented little difficulty. The first playbill deftly sidestepped the issue in a mock dialogue with 'The Public': 'It is no business of mine, but I should like to know, should'nt [*sic*] you? How they got hold of this Piece? Why they do say that the idea is taken from the French Pieces of "*Monsieur Brouillon*" and "*L'Officieux*".'³⁴ Poole was notoriously ill-humoured about theft of his material. He prefaced the published text of his previous year's play, *Married and Single*, with a ten-page onslaught on Robert Elliston, lessee of the Theatre Royal Covent Garden, for alleged bad faith, but there was nothing he could do about it.³⁵ Until the Dramatic Copyright Act of 1833 gave playwrights limited control over the use of their material, piracy was a fact of life.³⁶

The challenge to Jerrold was not one of ownership but rather of market. He was faced with a dilemma. Working at speed he had to produce a play that was close enough to the original to exploit its fame but sufficiently different to attract an audience that might already have paid to see it at the Haymarket or could look forward to doing so once its season recommenced.³⁷ His solution was twofold. Firstly he simplified the plot and shortened the length of the play by an hour.³⁸ Gone are the old bachelor and his mercenary household. The action centres instead on the stock situation of a rich man, Oldbutton, seeking to marry his ward to his own choice of husband, the splendidly named Sir Spangle Rainbow, and her desire to wed another, Captain Haselton, who is Oldbutton's nephew in disguise. In deference to a less fashionable audience, almost all the action takes place in an inn, *The Golden Chariot*, and in a town, Dover. The servants are yet more obviously the only intelligent and clear-thinking members of the cast. The ward's servant Crimp is given a forthright speech on the rights of women:

But lord, madam, talking about being designed for Sir Spangle—I've no notion of such designing indeed. It's having a wife per order—it's likening us dear little women to so many parcels of grocery in thus packing us up, labelling, and sending us home to one particular customer. Do you take my advice, madam—run away with Captain Haselton, and get married at once.³⁹

There is much more physical comedy. In Poole's play, Paul Pry is frequently threatened with violence, in Jerrold's he experiences it, being variously sat

on, stabbed, and blown up in a box of fireworks in which he has unwisely hidden.

Secondly, Jerrold foregrounded the essence of the play's success. In Poole's play, Paul Pry intervenes in a number of scenes, but weight is given to other characters and plot developments. As one less-than-enraptured reviewer put it, 'In *Paul Pry*, Liston is not the marplot but the makeplot of the piece.'⁴⁰ In Jerrold's farce, Paul Pry is scarcely off the stage and his catchphrase rarely off his lips. Its full title was *Mr Paul Pry Or I Hope I Don't Intrude* and in little more than thirty pages of text he managed to insert the sentence no less than fifteen times, together with another thirteen close variants such as 'Would not intrude for the world, sir' or 'I wouldn't wish to intrude for a minute.'⁴¹ The line was the first that Paul Pry uttered, and the proceedings were concluded by this valedictory speech:

Well, I never will do another good-natured thing again. I'll not ask another question, I'm determined. I'll take an oath—I'll—ladies and gentlemen, I hope I don't intrude—but I have just one thing to tell you. Perhaps Paul Pry may be here again to-morrow night—now don't let this go any further. I take all this very kind of you—and wish you all a very good evening. [*Curtain falls*]⁴²

The play was so suffused in the words that Jerrold was able to make comic business out of their inversion. When Paul Pry, who has his head up a chimney and his back to the audience, is accidentally assaulted with a red-hot poker by the servant Billy, he cries out, 'Damme, but you intrude! Oh, Lord!'⁴³ As with much of the product of the minor theatres, the play was largely ignored by the press.⁴⁴ Davidge bought few newspaper advertisements and perhaps as a consequence received little attention. Jerrold's career as a dramatist had to wait three more years until it took off at the Surrey Theatre with the nautical melodrama *Black Ey'd Susan*, which was in turn widely pirated.⁴⁵ But *Mr Paul Pry* did its job, playing for thirty-seven performances over six consecutive weeks.⁴⁶

The third play took place on four legs. On 29 May 1826, Astley's Royal Amphitheatre announced that 'Paul Pry having been forced to *run* at other Theatres, Messrs. DUCROW and WEST, possessing the ample Stud they do, have thought it would appear uncharitable in them not to let him have a *ride* at this . . .'⁴⁷ Astley's was near the Coburg on the wrong side of the Thames. Since 1770 it had developed a reputation as the leading arena of horseback spectacles, adapting theatrical successes and celebrating military achievements. The Battle of Waterloo was re-fought on its boards for an

entire season.⁴⁸ Its large stage was strong enough to carry the weight of galloping horsemen and full-scale mail coaches but sufficiently flexible to be rapidly dismantled and reassembled.⁴⁹ The productions combined exiguous dialogue with music, song, dramatic visual effects, and immensely skilled horsemanship. In the words of Tomlins' *Brief View of the English Drama*, 'Astley's Amphitheatre is a name at which the youthful heart bounds, and the olden one revives.'⁵⁰ Jackie Bratton describes it as 'a sort of Regency schoolboy's idea of heaven'.⁵¹ Its manager and star rider Andrew Ducrow was evidently playing within himself in this production; his signature performance was riding up to five horses at once in *The Courier of St Petersburg*.⁵² The author was William Moncrieff, who at the beginning of the decade had managed Astley's before going on to write the most successful of the stage versions of *Life in London* and, subsequently, adaptations of Dickens' early novels, particularly *Pickwick Papers* and *Nicholas Nickleby*.⁵³

On the face of it Paul Pry was an unlikely candidate for equestrian translation. He was an entirely pedestrian presence in the original play, overweight, carrying an umbrella, and suffering from both gout and 'the rheumatiz'.⁵⁴ Poole made comic business of his immobility: 'Pry. There is nothing so good for the health as walking.—(goes up, brings down a chair in the centre, and sits.) Mrs S. There! Now he is fixed for the day. Pry. That is to say, walking in moderation.'⁵⁵ Astley's version of *Tom and Jerry* had been much more suitable, its emphasis on movement and horseflesh allowing the management free rein. According to the Amphitheatre's historian, the production was 'remarkable for its scene of Epsom Races, which boasted post-chaises, gigs, tilburys, caravans, hackney coaches, carts, and four-in-hand barouches, all drawn by real horses, besides gambling tables, pick-pockets, sweeps, piemen, beggars, and ballad singers. It ended with a race between seven "Bits of Blood" on extensive platforms across the whole width of the house.'⁵⁶ No text of Moncrieff's adaptation of *Paul Pry* has survived, but it is possible to glimpse his treatment from the initial playbill, which was a far more prolix document than the sparse announcements that characterized the Haymarket [Fig. 3]. The audience was promised, 'New & Old Music, extensive Scenery, Dresses and Decorations, in which the extraordinary Stud of Horses and Store of Vehicles of every Description, Carriages, Gigs, Waggons, Carts &c. &c. belonging to this Theatre, will be displayed in an entirely novel manner.'⁵⁷ Moncrieff, who was the only one of the three dramatists to be acknowledged in the publicity for the plays,

- [click Dancing Barefoot: The Patti Smith Story](#)
- [click The Fountainhead](#)
- [click Politically Correct Bedtime Stories: Modern Tales for Our Life & Times](#)
- [read online Photoshop Elements 10: The Missing Manual pdf, azw \(kindle\), epub, doc, mobi](#)

- <http://academialanguagebar.com/?ebooks/Dancing-Barefoot--The-Patti-Smith-Story.pdf>
- <http://aseasonedman.com/ebooks/Linear-Algebra-with-Applications.pdf>
- <http://nexson.arzamaszev.com/library/Records-of-the-Grand-Historian.pdf>
- <http://schrolf.de/books/Death-of-a-Perfect-Wife--Hamish-Macbeth--Book-4-.pdf>